

1 [Counsel Listed on Signature Page]

2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

6 Case No. 2:26-cv-00976-TLN-CKD

7 In Re: Nexstar-TEGNA Merger Litigation

8 **NOTICE OF JOINT MOTION AND
9 MOTION TO AMEND THE INITIAL
10 PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER AND
11 ENTER STIPULATED DISCOVERY
12 PROTOCOL**

13 Judges: Honorable Troy L. Nunley and
14 Honorable Carolyn K. Delaney
15 Trial Date: Not Scheduled
16 Action Filed: March 18, 2026

17 **TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

18 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that Defendants Nexstar Media Group, Inc. (“Nexstar”) and TEGNA
19 Inc. (“TEGNA”) (collectively, “Defendants”) and Plaintiffs DIRECTV, LLC (“DIRECTV”) and the
20 State of California, the State of Colorado, the State of Connecticut, the State of Illinois, the State of
21 Indiana, the State of Kansas, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the State of New York, the State of
22 North Carolina, the State of Oregon, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the State of Vermont, and the
23 Commonwealth of Virginia (collectively, “Plaintiff States”), jointly will and hereby do move this Court
24 for an order amending the Initial Pretrial Scheduling Order to adopt the Proposed Amended Schedule
25 set forth in Appendix A and the Stipulated Discovery Protocol attached as Appendix B to this Joint
26 Motion to Amend the Initial Pretrial Scheduling Order and Enter Stipulated Discovery Protocol.

27 **PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that under Local Rule 230(g), this motion is submitted
28 upon the record without oral argument unless otherwise directed by the Court.

This motion is made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4). This motion is based
on this Notice of Motion, the Joint Motion, the Appendices, the accompanying Affidavit of Counsel, the
pleadings and papers otherwise on file in this action, and any other matter the Court may consider.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: June 18, 2026

/s/ Beth A. Wilkinson
Beth Wilkinson (*pro hac vice*)

*Attorney for Defendants Nexstar Media Group,
Inc. and TEGNA Inc.*

/s/ Laura Antonini
Laura Antonini (SBN 271658)
Deputy Attorney General

*Attorney for Plaintiff State of California on behalf
of all Plaintiff States*

/s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz
Glenn D. Pomerantz (SBN 112503)

Attorney for Plaintiff DIRECTV, LLC

1 **JOINT MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER AND ENTER STIPULATED**
2 **DISCOVERY PROTOCOL**

3 Defendants Nexstar Media Group, Inc. (“Nexstar”) and TEGNA Inc. (“TEGNA”)
4 (collectively, “Defendants”) and Plaintiffs DIRECTV, LLC (“DIRECTV”) and the State of California,
5 the State of Colorado, the State of Connecticut, the State of Illinois, the State of Indiana, the State of
6 Kansas, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the State of New York, the State of North Carolina, the
7 State of Oregon, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the State of Vermont, and the Commonwealth
8 of Virginia (collectively, “Plaintiff States”), respectfully move the Court to enter the Proposed
9 Amended Schedule set forth in Appendix A and the Stipulated Discovery Protocol attached as
10 Appendix B. All Parties are aligned in making this request, which if accepted would result in a trial
11 starting on July 7, 2027—or, if the Court is not available on that date, as soon thereafter as the Court
12 is available for trial.

13 The Parties recognize that their Proposed Amended Schedule would expedite the Court’s initial
14 scheduling order, and respectfully submit that there is good cause for their request. The Parties are
15 mindful of the significant resource burdens facing the Eastern District of California. The Parties are
16 also mindful that the Court is working under a declared judicial emergency that has necessitated long
17 hours for judges and court staff. The Parties have negotiated diligently and in good faith to structure
18 the Proposed Amended Schedule to avoid burdening the Court.

19 *First*, the Proposed Amended Schedule endeavors to place the burden of expedition on the
20 Parties rather than on the Court. For example, the schedule materially shortens the case schedule by
21 expediting fact discovery and forgoing pretrial dispositive motions practice, including motions to
22 dismiss and summary judgment motions. Similarly, the schedule avoids the need for either side to
23 take potentially unnecessary defensive depositions by providing that witnesses on a trial witness list
24 may be deposed before trial if they were not deposed during the discovery period. The Proposed
25 Amended Schedule allows the Parties to conduct fact and expert discovery on an expedited timeline
26 and then proceed to trial. Instead of having to review lengthy dispositive motions and resolve them
27 via a detailed ruling in advance of trial, the Court would just be asked to rule on the case once, after it

1 discovery protocol, which was negotiated in tandem with the proposed schedule, includes provisions
2 governing privilege logging, the conduct of depositions, agreed limits on interrogatories and requests
3 for admission, procedures for expert disclosures, and the like. Given the number of Parties to this
4 litigation (including additional States that first joined this case when amended complaints were filed
5 on April 30, 2026), and the many issues addressed by the Proposed Amended Schedule and Stipulated
6 Discovery Protocol, the Parties respectfully submit that they have acted diligently in bringing these
7 proposals to the Court.

8 For the reasons provided above, the Parties respectfully submit the Proposed Amended
9 Schedule set forth in Appendix A, and request that the Court enter it as the governing schedule in this
10 action. The Parties also respectfully request that the Court enter the Stipulated Discovery Protocol,
11 attached hereto as Appendix B. If the Court would benefit from further information or argument from
12 the Parties in support of the Proposed Amended Schedule or the Stipulated Discovery Protocol, the
13 Parties are available at the Court's convenience.

14
15 Dated: June 18, 2026

FOR DEFENDANTS:

16 /s/ Beth A. Wilkinson
17 Beth Wilkinson (pro hac vice)
18 Kosta Stojilkovic (pro hac vice)
19 Sarah Neuman (pro hac vice)
20 Jenna P. Swarbrick (pro hac vice)
21 **WILKINSON STEKLOFF LLP**
22 2001 M Street NW, 10th Floor
23 Washington, DC 20036
24 Telephone: (202) 847-4000
25 Facsimile: (202) 847-4005
26 bwilkinson@wilkinsonstekloff.com
27 kstojilkovic@wilkinsonstekloff.com
28 sneuman@wilkinsonstekloff.com
jpswarbrick@wilkinsonstekloff.com

Alexander P. Okuliar (pro hac vice)
Bradley S. Lui (CA Bar No. 143088)
Robert W. Manoso (pro hac vice)
Alexa Rae DiCunzolo (pro hac vice)
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
2100 L Street, NW, Suite 900

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Washington, DC 20037
Telephone: 202.887.1500
Facsimile: 202.912.2329
aokuliar@mofocom
blui@mofocom
rmanoso@mofocom
adicunzolo@mofocom

Eliot A. Adelson (CA Bar No. 205284)
Daralyn J. Durie (CA Bar No. 169825)
Adam R. Brausa (CA Bar No. 298754)
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: 415.268.7000
Facsimile: 415.268.7522
eadelson@mofocom
ddurie@mofocom
abrausa@mofocom

Nicole K. Serfoss (pro hac vice)
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
Republic Plaza
370 Seventeenth Street, Unit 4200
Denver, CO 80202-5638
Telephone: 303.592.1500
Facsimile: 303.592.1510
nserfoss@mofocom

*Attorneys for Defendants Nexstar Media
Group, Inc. and TEGNA Inc.*

FOR PLAINTIFF DIRECTV:

/s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz

Glenn D. Pomerantz (State Bar No. 112503)
glenn.pomerantz@mto.com
Kuruvilla J. Olaso (State Bar No. 281509)
kuruvilla.olaso@mto.com
Robert E. Bowen (State Bar No. 335932)
robert.bowen@mto.com
Lauren Beck (State Bar No. 343375)
lauren.beck@mto.com
Liam Gennari (State Bar No. 350177)
liam.gennari@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 683-9100

Justin P. Raphael (State Bar No. 292380)
justin.rafael@mto.com
Carson J. Scott (State Bar No. 339868)
carson.scott@mto.com

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 512-4000

Xiaonan April Hu (State Bar No. 321354)
april.hu@mto.com
Brandon H. Thomas (State Bar No. 334240)
brandon.thomas@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
601 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 500E
Washington, D.C. 20001-5369
Telephone: (202) 220-1100
Facsimile: (202) 220-2300

McGregor Scott (State Bar No. 142413)
msscott@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
621 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500
Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 321-4800

Olivier N. Antoine (pro hac vice)
oantoine@kslaw.com
Laura Harris (pro hac vice)
lharris@kslaw.com
Joshua Hazan (pro hac vice)
jhazan@kslaw.com
Sean Murray (pro hac vice)
smurray@kslaw.com
Arthur B. Schoen (pro hac vice)
aschoen@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10104
Telephone: (212) 556-2100

M. Sean Royall (pro hac vice)
sroyall@kslaw.com
Emily Blackburn (pro hac vice)
eblackburn@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone: (202) 737-0500

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Michael D. Nilsson (pro hac vice)
mnilsson@hwglaw.com
Jared Paul Marx (pro hac vice)
jmarx@hwglaw.com
Kathleen Weng (pro hac vice)
kweng@hwglaw.com
HWG LLP
1919 M St. NW, Eighth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
Telephone: (202) 730-1334

Attorneys for Plaintiff DIRECTV, LLC

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California

/s/ Laura Antonini
Laura Antonini
Deputy Attorney General
Paula Blizzard (SBN 207920)
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Brent K. Nakamura (SBN 283572)
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
Elizabeth Cheever (SBN 341421)
Emily C. Curran (SBN 293065)
Jennifer K. Hane (SBN 275729)
Connie P. Sung (SBN 304242)
Brian D. Wang (SBN 284490)
Deputy Attorneys General
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6000
Email: Laura.Antonini@doj.ca.gov
Brent.Nakamura@doj.ca.gov

Shaoul Sussman (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Nico Gurian (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Victoria M. O. Field (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Paul M. Goorich (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Simonsen Sussman LLP
307 W. 38th St., 16th Fl.
New York, NY 10018
Telephone: (646) 693-3929
Email: shaoul@simonsensussman.com
nico.gurian@simonsensussman.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

victoria.field@simonsensussman.com
paul.goodrich@simonsensussman.com

Catherine S. Simonsen (SBN 307325)
Thomas G. Mattes (SBN 355010)
Simonsen Sussman LLP
418 Bamboo Ln., Suite C-18
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Telephone: (917) 747-5196
Email: catherine@simonsensussman.com
thomas.mattes@simonsensussman.com

Nicolas A. Stebinger (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Victoria R. Sims (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Simonsen Sussman LLP
1629 K St. NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 384-3130
Email: nicolas@simonsensussman.com
victoria.sims@simonsensussman.com

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF COLORADO:

PHILIP J. WEISER
Attorney General

/s/ Bryn Williams
Bryn A. Williams
First Assistant Attorney General
Jonathan B. Sallet (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Special Assitant Attorney General
Robin Alexander (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
Amy Bowles (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
Colorado Department of Law
1300 Broadway, 10th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
Telephone: 702- 508-6000
Email: Bryn.Williams@coag.gov
Jon.Sallet@coag.gov
Robin.Alexander@coag.gov
Amy.Bowles@coag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Colorado

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF
CONNECTICUT:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WILLIAM TONG
Attorney General of Connecticut

NICOLE DEMERS
Deputy Associate Attorney General
(Admitted pro hac vice)

/s/ Julián A. Quiñones Reyes
Julián A. Quiñones (Admitted pro hac vice)
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of Connecticut
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106
Telephone: 860-808-5030
Email: Julian.Quinones@ct.gov

Attorney for Plaintiff State of Connecticut

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF ILLINOIS:

KWAME RAOUI
Attorney General of Illinois

/s/ Paul J. Harper
Paul J. Harper (Admitted pro hac vice)
Supervising Attorney, Antitrust Bureau

Elizabeth L. Maxeiner (Admitted pro hac vice)
Chief, Antitrust Bureau
John R. Milligan (Admitted pro hac vice)
Daniel R. Betancourt (Admitted pro hac vice)
Assistant Attorneys General
Office of the Attorney General of Illinois
115 S. LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Telephone: (312) 814-1004
Email: Paul.Harper@ilag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Illinois

THEODORE E. ROKITA
Attorney General of Indiana

/s/ Scott L. Barnhart
Scott L. Barnhart, Att. No.25474-82
Chief Counsel and Director of Consumer
Protection
(Admitted pro hac vice)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Jesse Moore, Atty. No. 37654-49
Deputy Attorney General (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Jacob Patterson, Atty. No. 38302-29
Deputy Attorney General (*Admitted pro hac vice*)

OFFICE OF INDIANA ATTORNEY
GENERAL TODD ROKITA
Indiana Government Center South, 5th Floor
302 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Telephone: 317-232-4956
Fax: 317-232-7979
Email: Jesse.Moore[atg.in.gov]

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Indiana

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF KANSAS

KRIS KOBACK
Attorney General of Kansas

/s/ John Harris
John Harris (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Kansas Attorney General
120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor
Topeka, KS 66612
Telephone: 785-296-2215
Email: John.Harris[atg.ks.gov]

Attorney for Plaintiff State of Kansas

FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF
MASSACHUSETTS:

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL
Attorney General of Massachusetts

/s/ Anthony W. Mariano
Anthony W. Mariano (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Chief, Antitrust Division
Jennifer E. Greaney (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Deputy Chief, Antitrust Division
Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General
One Ashburton Place, 18th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
Telephone: 781-835-7990
Email: Anthony.Mariano@mass.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Commonwealth of
Massachusetts*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW YORK:

LETITIA JAMES
Attorney General of New York

/s/ Elinor R. Hoffmann
Elinor R. Hoffmann (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Chief, Antitrust Division
Christopher D' Angelo (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Deputy Chief Attorney General
Economic Justice Division
Amy McFarlane (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Deputy Chief, Antitrust Bureau
Morgan Feder (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Bureau
New York State Office of the Attorney General
28 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10005
Elinor.Hoffmann@ag.ny.gov | 212-416-8269
Christopher.D'Angelo@ag.ny.gov |
212-416-6124
Amy.McFarlane@ag.ny.gov | 212-416-6195
Morgan.Feder@ag.ny.gov | 212-416-8288

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NORTH
CAROLINA

JEFF JACKSON
Attorney general of North Carolina

KUNAL CHOKSI (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Senior deputy attorney general

/s/ Francisco Benzoni
Francisco Benzoni (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Special Deputy Attorney General
Brian Rabinovitz (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Director of Major Litigation for Consumer
Protection
114 W. Edenton Street
Raleigh, NC 27603
Telephone: 919-716-6000
Email: Fbenzoni@ncdoj.gov
Brabinovitz@ncdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of North Carolina

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF OREGON:

DAN RAYFIELD
Attorney General of Oregon

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

/s/ Alex DeLorenzo
Timothy D. Smith (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Attorney-in-Charge
Ian Van Loh (SBN 280254)
Alex DeLorenzo (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Senior Assistant Attorneys General
Economic Justice Section
Oregon Department of Justice
100 SW Market Street
Portland, OR 97201
Tim.Smith@doj.oregon.gov | 503-798-3297
Ian.Vanloh@doj.oregon.gov | 971-239-7457
Alex.Delorenzo@doj.oregon.gov | 503-428-9482

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Oregon

FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA:

DAVID W. SUNDAY, JR.
Attorney General of Pennsylvania

/s/ Tracy W. Wertz
Tracy W. Wertz (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Antitrust Section
Email: twertz@attorneygeneral.gov

Joseph S. Betsko (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Assistant Chief Deputy Attorney General
Antitrust Section
Email: jbetsko@attorneygeneral.gov

Jennifer A. Thomson (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Antitrust Section
Email: jthomson@attorneygeneral.gov

Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General
Strawberry Square, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120
Phone: 717-787-4530

Attorneys for Plaintiff Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF VERMONT:

CHARITY R. CLARK
Attorney General of Vermont

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

/s/ Sarah L. J Aceves
Sarah L. J. Aceves (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Assistant Attorney General
Public Protection and Antitrust Unit
Office of the Attorney General of Vermont
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05676
Telephone: 802-828-3170
Email: sarah.aceves@vermont.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Vermont

FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

JAY JONES
Attorney General of Virginia

/s/ Tyler T. Henry
Tyler T. Henry (*Admitted pro hac vice*)
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Antitrust Unit
Office of the Attorney General of Virginia
202 North 9th Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: 804-692-0485
Email: THenry@oag.state.va.us
Attorneys for the Plaintiff Commonwealth of Virginia

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FILER'S ATTESTATION

As the ECF user whose user ID and password are utilized in the filing of this document, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

/s/ Beth A. Wilkinson
Beth A. Wilkinson (*pro hac vice*)
WILKINSON STEKLOFF LLP
2001 M Street NW, 10th Floor
Washington, DC 20036
Telephone: (202) 847-4000
Facsimile: (202) 847-4005
bwilkinson@wilkinsonstekloff.com

Appendix A

PROPOSED AMENDED SCHEDULE

Event	Date
Deadline for All Parties to Reproduce Investigation Materials ¹	For Defendants and DIRECTV, June 5, 2026. For Plaintiff States, June 15, 2026.
Substantial Completion of Document Productions for Initial Requests for Production to the Parties (“Initial RFPs”) ²	August 20, 2026
Deadline for Production of Privilege Logs for Documents Produced By Substantial Completion	September 8, 2026 at 5pm PT
Close of Fact Discovery ³	December 10, 2026
Initial Expert Report(s) ⁴	January 14, 2027
Rebuttal Expert Report(s)	February 18, 2027

¹ “Investigation Materials” is defined in the Protective Order, ECF No. 238. By this deadline, the Parties produced Investigation Materials that constitute advocacy submissions or productions or submissions of documents, reports, and data. Investigation Materials that constitute non-privileged communications with a governmental entity or official but that do not fall within these categories shall be addressed through Requests for Production. Plaintiff States shall not re-produce submissions received from Defendants as part of the Investigation Materials.

² The Parties have previously served Initial RFPs, without prejudice to service of additional Requests for Production. Parties shall produce documents on a rolling basis, and shall substantially complete document production in response to the Initial RFPs by this date. The deadline for substantial completion of document production does not relieve a party of its obligations under Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to produce responsive records within a reasonable time, if that time is earlier than the deadline for substantial completion.

³ If Defendants agree to any new divestitures, agreements, or commitments beyond those that Defendants have already made to the FCC and the Ohio Attorney General, Defendants may not rely on those new divestitures, agreements, or commitments in this litigation without providing Plaintiffs an opportunity to conduct fact and expert discovery on them. Any Party may seek a modification of this schedule to allow additional time for such discovery and trial preparation.

⁴ Initial Expert Reports shall include: (1) any affirmative reports submitted by Plaintiffs on market definition or anti-competitive effects; (2) any affirmative reports submitted by Defendants on merger-specific efficiencies or a failing firm defense; and (3) any affirmative industry expert reports submitted by either side. Nothing herein limits the subjects on which the Parties may submit expert reports.

Event	Date
Reply Expert Report(s) ⁵	March 18, 2027
Close of Expert Discovery	April 15, 2027
Exchange of Preliminary Witness Lists ⁶	April 22, 2027 at 5pm PT
Exchange of Affirmative Deposition Designations	April 29, 2027 at 5pm PT
Exchange of Exhibit Lists and Final Witness Lists	May 6, 2027 at 5pm PT
Daubert Motions and Motions In Limine	May 13, 2027
Exchange of Objections and Counter-Designations to Deposition Designations	May 13, 2027 at 5pm PT
Exchange of Objections to Exhibits Lists	May 20, 2027 at 5pm PT
Oppositions to Daubert Motions and Motions In Limine	May 27, 2027
Exchange Counter-Counter Designations and Objections to Counter-Designations	May 27, 2027 at 5pm PT
Parties Create a Joint Exhibit List with Plaintiff and Defense Exhibits, Deduplicating Exhibits Listed by Both Sides	June 3, 2027
Joint Pre-Trial Statement (L.R. 281)	June 10, 2027
Pre-Trial Brief (35-Page Limit) ⁷	June 17, 2027
Pre-Trial Conference	June 24, 2027
Lodge Deposition Designations with Court	June 30, 2027
Trial	July 7, 2027

⁵ Sur-Rebuttal Expert Report(s) shall not be submitted without agreement of the Parties or order of the Court.

⁶ Any witness appearing on a preliminary or final witness list who has not been deposed may be deposed after the close of fact discovery.

⁷ To the extent that the Parties wish to brief dispositive legal issues, they should be included in the Pre-Trial or Post-Trial Briefs and will be resolved by the Court in issuing its opinion after trial. Any dispositive issue presented this way will be preserved as if presented in a separate dispositive motion. The Parties have agreed not to file dispositive motions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Event	Date
Estimated Trial Length	15 days, split evenly between the sides
Post-Trial Brief (50-Page Limit) Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	14 Days after Close of Trial

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Appendix B

STIPULATED DISCOVERY PROTOCOL

The State of California, State of Colorado, State of Connecticut, State of Illinois, State of Indiana, State of Kansas, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, State of New York, State of North Carolina, State of Oregon, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Vermont, and Commonwealth of Virginia (collectively, "Plaintiff States"), Plaintiff DIRECTV, and Defendants Nexstar Media Group, Inc. and TEGNA Inc. (collectively, "the Parties") stipulate and agree to this discovery protocol.

A. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(D) (Privilege & Privilege Logs)

To the extent any Party withholds a document on the basis of a claim of attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other similar privilege or doctrine, that Party shall identify the document on a privilege log that complies with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5) and provides sufficient detail for other Parties to assess the claim of privilege or protection.

Documents that meet any one of the following criteria may be excluded from privilege logs:

- (i) communications solely among counsel for the Plaintiff States;
- (ii) communications solely among counsel for DIRECTV;
- (iii) communications solely among counsel for Nexstar;
- (iv) communications solely among counsel for TEGNA;
- (v) communications solely between or among counsel for DIRECTV and any Plaintiff State that post-date five days before that Plaintiff State filed or joined a complaint in this action; or
- (vi) communications solely between or among counsel for Nexstar and TEGNA post-dating the execution of the merger agreement on August 18, 2025, with the exception of communications dated before March 19, 2026, that concern (a) any modifications to the merger agreement, (b) communications reflecting Nexstar's or TEGNA's invocation or assertion of rights under the

1 merger agreement, or (c) notices or communications stating or conveying that a closing condition
2 has or has not been satisfied.¹

3 If a portion of a document is subject to a claim of attorney-client privilege, work product
4 doctrine, or other similar privilege or doctrine, then only that portion shall be redacted with
5 conspicuous markings and identified on the privilege log. The remainder of the document shall be
6 produced without redactions.

7 The Parties shall disclose privilege logs on a rolling basis, but no later than the deadlines
8 described in the Amended Schedule.

9 **B. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(E) (Limitations on Discovery)**

10 The Parties propose the following limitations on discovery with respect to the claims and
11 defenses in this litigation.²

12 **1. Depositions**

13 **Number of Depositions:** Each side may take no more than thirty (30) depositions of fact
14 witnesses, including third-party witnesses (*i.e.*, Plaintiff States and DIRECTV may collectively
15 take up to 30 depositions combined, and Defendants may take up to 30 depositions combined).
16 Additional depositions of fact witnesses shall be permitted only by agreement of the Parties, by
17 leave of the Court for good cause shown, or as expressly stated in this report. A side shall be
18 deemed to take a deposition if it noticed or cross-noticed the deposition. If a side did not notice or
19 cross-notice the deposition of a witness, it may question the witness for a limited period of time as
20 specified below.

21
22
23
24 ¹ If Defendants seek to assert privilege over communications in categories (vi)(a)-(c), they shall list
those documents on a privilege log.

25 ² The Parties reserve all rights with respect to discovery on ancillary matters beyond the claims and
26 defenses in this litigation. Plaintiffs have not agreed that the discovery limits reflected here are
27 applicable to Defendants' compliance with the Court's Preliminary Injunction, and Plaintiffs
reserve the right to seek such discovery in excess of the limits described here. Defendants reserve
28 the right to object to such discovery, including on the basis that it is in excess of the limits described
here.

1 A 30(b)(6) deposition shall be counted as a single deposition, regardless of whether a Party
2 or non-party designates multiple individuals to testify, provided that it lasts no more than 10 hours
3 on the record.

4 Each side may take no more than one deposition of each of the other side's testifying
5 experts, which shall not count against the deposition limits for fact witnesses.

6 **Time for Fact Witness Depositions:** Absent agreement or an order of the Court, individual
7 fact witness deposition shall be limited to:

8 (i) For party witnesses noticed by one side, 7 hours on the record of questioning by the
9 noticing side and 1 hour on the record of questioning by the non-noticing side, except that Plaintiff
10 States and DIRECTV may designate up to five depositions of Defendants' officers, directors, or
11 employees that shall be limited to 10 hours on the record of total questioning by Plaintiff States and
12 DIRECTV and 2 hours of questioning by Defendants;³

13 (ii) For party witnesses noticed by both sides, 7 hours of on the record questioning by the
14 opposing side and 3 hours of on the record questioning by the non-opposing side;⁴

15 (iii) If both sides notice a deposition of Defendants' officer, director, or employee, and
16 Plaintiffs designate that deposition as one of the 10-hour depositions permitted under this
17 agreement, then Plaintiffs shall have 10 hours of on-the-record questioning and Defendants shall
18 have four hours of on-the-record questioning;

19 (iv) For non-party witnesses noticed by one side, 6 hours of on the record questioning by
20 the noticing side and 1 hour of on the record questioning by the non-noticing side;

21 _____
22 ³ For avoidance of doubt, solely for the purposes of the deposition timing provisions in this
23 agreement, (1) an officer, director, or employee of DIRECTV, TEGNA, or Nexstar as of March 18,
24 2026 or (2) an officer, director, or employee listed on a Party's Initial Disclosures and who was
employed by the Party (or a predecessor) as of March 18, 2026, shall be deemed to be a party
witness. Counsel shall ensure that contact with potential witnesses complies with California Rule
of Professional Conduct 4.2.

25 ⁴ For purposes of subparagraphs (ii)-(iii), if a party witness has (1) ceased to be employed by that
26 party prior to their deposition and (2) is not represented by that party's counsel or by separate
27 counsel working under a common interest agreement with that party, that party at its election may
28 have an additional two hours of on the record questioning, without reduction in the deposition time
available to the opposing side. A party must make this election at least 14 days before the
deposition.

1 (v) For non-party witnesses noticed by both sides, 3.5 hours of on the record questioning
2 per side.

3 For depositions described in (i) through (v), time not used by one side shall not revert to the
4 other side.

5 **Time for Expert Witness Depositions:** Absent agreement or an order of the Court, each
6 testifying expert witness shall be deposed for no longer than 7 hours on the record.

7 **Noticing and Mechanics:** The Parties may not serve a deposition notice with fewer than
8 fourteen (14) calendar days' notice, absent the Parties' stipulation or leave of the Court permitting
9 a deposition to move forward on shorter notice.

10 The Parties shall consult with each other prior to confirming any deposition to coordinate
11 the time and place of the deposition. The Parties shall use reasonable efforts to reduce the burden
12 on witnesses noticed for depositions and to accommodate the witness's schedule.

13 If a deposition is noticed to take place in person, then the witness will not satisfy their
14 compliance obligations unless they appear in person, except by agreement of the noticing Party.
15 Otherwise, for any deposition, each Party may notice, take, defend, or otherwise participate in any
16 such deposition either in person, or remotely, in their sole discretion, notwithstanding how any
17 other Party elects to notice, take, defend, or otherwise participate in such deposition. The Parties
18 will negotiate a deposition protocol to govern deposition procedures, if necessary.

19 **2. Requests for Production**

20 Documents produced by a Party from that Party's files, or by a non-party from the non-
21 party's files, shall be presumed to be authentic within the meaning of Federal Rule of Evidence
22 901. If a Party serves a specific good-faith written objection to the document's authenticity, then
23 the presumption of authenticity will no longer apply to that document and the Parties will promptly
24 meet and confer to attempt to resolve any objection. Defendants' Bates numbering shall indicate
25 whether the document was produced from Nexstar's files or TEGNA's files.

1 The Parties shall serve objections and responses to requests for admission no later than 21
2 calendar days after the date of service. If a Party requests to meet and confer on such objections
3 and responses, then the adverse Party shall agree to participate in a conference that occurs within
4 five (5) business days of the request.

5 **6. Expert Disclosures**

6 Expert disclosures, including each side's expert report(s), shall comply with the
7 requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2), except as modified herein:

- 8 1. Neither side must preserve or disclose, including in expert deposition testimony on
9 privilege logs, or through testimony at trial, the following documents or materials:
- 10 a. any form of communication or work product shared between any of the
 - 11 Parties' counsel and their expert(s), persons assisting the expert(s), or
 - 12 consultants, or between the expert(s) or consultants themselves;
 - 13 b. any form of communication or work product shared between an expert(s)
 - 14 and persons assisting the expert(s);
 - 15 c. expert's notes, unless they are expressly relied upon and/or cited in support
 - 16 of an opinion or fact;
 - 17 d. drafts of expert reports, analyses, or other work product; or
 - 18 e. data formulations, data runs, data analyses, or any database-related
 - 19 operations not considered by the expert.
- 20 2. The Parties hereby expressly modify the disclosure requirements listed under
21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B). Within three (3) business days of
22 serving an expert report, the serving Party shall disclose to the other side the
23 following materials associated with that expert report:
- 24 a. Identification of the materials relied upon by the expert in forming her
 - 25 opinions, identified by Bates number if available;⁵

26 _____
27 ⁵ For avoidance of doubt, any materials considered by—but not relied upon—by the expert in
28 forming their opinions need not be disclosed under this provision, but may be inquired into at
deposition consistent with the limitations set forth in Section 6.1.

- b. copies of any materials relied upon by the expert not previously produced that are not readily available publicly;
- c. for any calculations appearing in the report, all data and programs underlying the calculation, including all programs and codes necessary to recreate the calculation from the initial (“raw”) data files; and
- d. any communication from a Party’s counsel that forms the sole basis for an opinion, assumption, or fact stated in the expert’s report.

7. Witness Lists


The Parties shall prepare and exchange preliminary fact witness lists, on the date set forth in the Amended Schedule. These lists shall reflect a good-faith effort to identify the individuals who will be called at trial, shall summarize the general topics of each witness’s anticipated testimony, shall include each witness’s contact information and name of employer (if known), and shall indicate the Party’s intent to call the witness either live or by deposition. The preliminary fact witness list shall be limited to no more than 25 fact witnesses per side.

Any witness appearing on a preliminary witness list who has not been previously deposed may be deposed, without regard to the deposition limits set forth in the Amended Schedule or the fact discovery deadline, in order to be listed on a final witness list.

The Parties shall prepare and exchange final witness lists, on the date set forth in the Amended Schedule. Each side’s final witness list shall include no more than twenty (20) fact witnesses, in addition to expert witnesses. Each side may include no more than three (3) fact witnesses on their final witness list who were not listed on a preliminary list, absent express permission by all Parties or order of the Court. If a witness on the final witness list who was not listed on the preliminary witness list has not been previously deposed, the witness may be deposed, without regard to the deposition limits set forth in the Amended Schedule or the fact discovery deadline.

Neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants may call a witness in their respective cases-in-chief who is not listed on their final witness list, absent express permission by all Parties or order of the Court.

1 These provisions for the exchange of preliminary and final witness lists do not relieve a
2 Party from its obligations to make timely initial and supplemental disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P.
3 26, and the Parties reserve all rights to seek enforcement of Rule 26 with respect to disclosures.
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



TheDesk.net

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO DIVISION

Case No. 2:26-cv-00976-TLN-CKD

In Re: Nexstar-TEGNA Merger Litigation

**AFFIDAVIT OF COUNSEL IN
SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION TO
AMEND THE INITIAL PRETRIAL
SCHEDULING ORDER AND
ENTER STIPULATED
DISCOVERY PROTOCOL**

I, Kosta Stojilkovic, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 as follows:

1. I represent Defendants Nexstar Media Group, Inc. (“Nexstar”) and TEGNA Inc. (“TEGNA”) in this action.

2. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this affidavit and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently to them under oath.

3. I submit this affidavit under Local Rule 144(e) in support of the Parties’ Joint Motion to Amend the Initial Pretrial Scheduling Order and Enter Stipulated Discovery Protocol.

4. Defendants Nexstar and TEGNA (collectively, “Defendants”) and Plaintiffs DIRECTV, LLC (“DIRECTV”) and the State of California, the State of Colorado, the State of Connecticut, the State of Illinois, the State of Indiana, the State of Kansas, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the State of New York, the State of North Carolina, the State of Oregon, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the State of Vermont, and the Commonwealth of Virginia (collectively, “Plaintiff States”) agree that the Parties’ Proposed Amended Schedule, set forth as Appendix A to the Parties’ Joint Motion to Amend the Initial Pretrial Scheduling Order and Enter Stipulated Discovery Protocol, is reasonable and jointly request that the Court enter it as the governing scheduling order in this case.

5. The Parties recognize that their Proposed Amended Schedule would expedite the Court’s initial scheduling order, and respectfully submit that there is good cause for their request.

6. The Parties are mindful that the Court is working under a declared judicial emergency in the Eastern District of California that has necessitated long hours for judges and court staff. The Parties

1 have negotiated diligently and in good faith to structure the Proposed Amended Schedule to avoid
2 burdening the Court.

3 7. The Proposed Amended Schedule endeavors to place the burden of expedition on the Parties
4 rather than on the Court. For example, the schedule materially shortens the case schedule by
5 expediting fact discovery and forgoing pretrial dispositive motions practice, including motions to
6 dismiss and summary judgment motions. Similarly, the schedule avoids the need for either side to
7 take potentially unnecessary defensive depositions by providing that witnesses on a trial witness list
8 may be deposed before trial if they were not deposed during the discovery period. The Proposed
9 Amended Schedule allows the Parties to conduct fact and expert discovery on an expedited timeline
10 and then proceed to trial. Instead of having to review lengthy dispositive motions and resolve them
11 via a detailed ruling in advance of trial, the Court would just be asked to rule on the case once, after it
12 has heard the evidence at trial.

13 8. All Parties have worked diligently and collaboratively to reach agreement on the Proposed
14 Amended Schedule and a related Stipulated Discovery Protocol that will streamline this litigation and
15 allow the Parties to present this case to the Court as efficiently as possible. That discovery protocol,
16 which was negotiated in tandem with the proposed schedule, includes, among other things, provisions
17 governing privilege logging, the conduct of depositions, agreed limits on interrogatories and requests
18 for admission, and procedures for expert disclosures.


19 9. Given the number of Parties to this litigation (including additional States that first joined this
20 case when amended complaints were filed on April 30, 2026), and the many issues addressed by the
21 Proposed Amended Schedule and Stipulated Discovery Protocol, the Parties respectfully submit that
22 they have acted diligently in bringing these proposals to the Court.

23 10. If the Court would benefit from further information or argument from the Parties in support of
24 the Proposed Amended Schedule or the Stipulated Discovery Protocol, the Parties are available at the
25 Court's convenience.

26 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 18th day of
27 June, 2026 in Washington, District of Columbia.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: /s/ Kosta Stojilkovic
Kosta Stojilkovic
Attorney for Defendants



TheDesk.net